

LEICESTER CITY HEALTH AND WELLBEING BOARD DATE

Subject:	LeDeR High Impact Actions
Presented to the Health and Wellbeing Board by:	Siouxie Nelson – LeDeR Clinical Lead
Author:	Siouxie Nelson

EXECUTIVE SUMMARY:

This report updates the Health and Wellbeing Board on the Midlands LeDeR Report and the High Impact Actions resultant from it.

This report compliments the agreement of the Joint Health Overview and Scrutiny Committee in July 2024 to scrutinise all reports presented to them to ensure that the needs of autistic people and people with a learning disability are responded to effectively.

There is a recognised and profound inequality in the life expectancy of people with a learning disability living in LLR and the Midlands.

The LDA Collaborative has significantly improved the uptake of Annual Health Checks for people with a learning disability - LLR is now ranked 1st in the Midlands and 5th nationally. However, LeDeR reviews evidence the need to improve the response to peoples' identified needs following these reviews.

The LDA Collaborative's LeDeR Programme undertakes timely reviews of the lives and deaths of autistic people and people with a learning disability who die – LLR is now ranked 2nd in the Midlands and 8th nationally. However, further action is required by all services and commissioning teams to respond to the learning from these reviews. Of note are the need to:

- 1. make reasonable adjustments to improve access to care,
- 2. intervene earlier to prevent premature death from cardiovascular and respiratory conditions
- 3. improve cancer screening and uptake of immunisation and vaccination
- 4. introduce quality assurance processes to stimulate, co-ordinate and monitor improvements in all partnerships/collaboratives

RECOMMENDATIONS:

The Health and Wellbeing Board is requested to:

Consider as part of the LeDeR High Impact Actions, how does the board ensure that the needs of the population of people with a learning disability and autistic people in LLR are included in every paper, presentation, pathway and service that is delivered? How are these benchmarked with quality outcome frameworks?